

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/800,560	03/15/2004	William H. Brine III	SHI 64963	9795		
7590 08/16/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER		
Lara A. North	rop	CHAMBERS	CHAMBERS, MICHAEL S			
Pietragallo, Bos	sick & Gordon					
One Oxford Cer		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
301 Grant Stree	•	3711	3711			
Pittsburgh, PA	15219	DATE MALL ED. 09/14/200	£			

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/800,560		BRINE ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Mike Chamb		3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15</u>	March 2004.						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
 4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-37 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Applicati	on Papers							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen								
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	(4) (8) (5) (6)	Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa	te	52)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1- 29 are drawn to a lacrosse stick, classified in class 473, subclass 513.

II Claims 30-37 are drawn to an articulation means, classified in class 473, subclass 505.

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because a lacrosse stick could be fabricated from a semi-flexible shaft. The subcombination has separate utility such as means for attaching a garden utensil to a handle.

If the applicant elects Group I, the following species restriction is also made:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group III- Lacrosse sticks as shown in Fig 1-9B.

Group IV- Lacrosse sticks as shown in Fig 20.

Application/Control Number: 10/800,560

Art Unit: 3711

Group V- Lacrosse sticks as shown in Fig 21.

Group VI- Lacrosse sticks as shown in Fig 24 AB.

Group VII- Lacrosse sticks as shown in Fig 25.

If the applicant elects Group II, the following species restriction is also made:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group VII - Articulation means as shown in Fig 16.

Group VIII - Articulation means as shown in Fig 17.

Group IX - Articulation means as shown in Fig 18.

Group X - Articulation means as shown in Fig 19.

Group XI - Articulation means as shown in Fig 26.

Group XII - Articulation means as shown in Fig 28.

Group XIII - Articulation means as shown in Fig 29.

Group X - Articulation means as shown in Fig 10.

Group XI - Articulation means as shown in Fig 11.

Group XII - Articulation means as shown in Fig 12-15.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/800,560

Art Unit: 3711

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the <u>species are not patentably</u> distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be <u>obvious variants</u> or <u>clearly admit on the record</u> that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to applicant's attorney L. Northrop on July 28, 2005 to request an election to the above restriction requirement with a voice mail

message to respond if applicant wished to discuss the restriction. Since their has been no response this action being mailed.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Chambers whose telephone number is (571) 272-4407. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on (571) 272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Chambers

Examiner

Art Unit 3711

August 14, 2005

GREGORY MIDOVICH
PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
PERCUNOLOGY PER 3700